



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/928,679	08/13/2001	Susan A. Iliff	20869	8167
210 7	7590 06/02/2003			
MERCK AND CO INC			EXAMINER	
P O BOX 2000			BROWN, MICHAEL A	
RAHWAY, NJ 070650907				
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3764	
			DATE MAILED: 06/02/2003	le

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Office Action Commons	Application No. Applicant(s) SUSAN I I FE FE/
Office Action Summary	Examiner Group Art Unit Michael Brow 3764
-The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover sheet beneath the correspondence address –
Period for Reply	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	EXPIRE MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE
from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a report of the period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by default, - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state	1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS apply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. It is, expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Let, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). It is date of this communication, even if timely, may reduce any earned patent
Status 3/	14/03
Responsive to communication(s) filed on	14/03
 ☑ This action is FINAL. ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935. 	for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in C.D. 1 1; 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims	
□ Claim(s) 1-17 quel 19-21	is/are pending in the application.
Of the above claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.
DClaim(s) 11-17 92019-21	
	is/are rejected.
Claim(s) 5 - 10	is/are objected to.
□ Claim(s)	are subject to restriction or election requirement
Application Papers ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are object	
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	
☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)–(d)	•
☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)–(d).
☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the:	(4)
☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been re	eceived.
☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been re	eceived in Application No
☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received
in this national stage application from the International *Certified copies not received:	
Attachment(s)	
☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No.	(s)
☐ Notice of Reference(s) Cited, PTO-892	☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152
☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948	3
Office Ac	ction Summary

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 11/00)

Part of Paper No.

Application/Control Number: 09/928,679 Page 2

Art Unit: 3764

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1 and 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Edison.

Edison discloses in figures 1-3 a restraining apparatus for treating of animals (a safety shield) comprising a rigid planar member 14, the planar member is movable vertically (the chain 68 allows the planar member to be moved vertically) and a supporting cross member 28.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 2-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Edison in view of Ricketts, as set forth in the previous office action, Paper No. 4.

1

Application/Control Number: 09/928,679 Page 3

Art Unit: 3764

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments filed March 14, 2003 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues that Edison does not disclose a safety shield for attachment to a restraint device. However, the preamble of the claims recites a safety shield. Clearly, the device disclosed by Edison is a restraint and a shield. The rigid planar portion provides a wall that prevents contact between a worker and an animal. As for what the safety shield is "for attachment to" provides no novelty or additional structure to the device. Applicant argues that Edison fails to teach the removability or adjustability of the device to a restraint chair. However, since the chair being attached to the safety device is not positively claimed, there is a lack of merit for giving patentable weight to the chair being a structural element of the invention. If the removability and the adjustability of being able to attach the shield to a chair was given patentable weight then it would be patentable to claim the capability of being able to attach the shield to any object.

Applicant argues that there is no teaching for combining Edison and Ricketts. However, since the shield disclosed by Edison is rigid and used to lift an animal one of ordinary skill in the art would use stainless steel because of its durability and resistance to bending under the weight of an animal.

Application/Control Number: 09/928,679 Page 4

Art Unit: 3764

Allowable Subject Matter

6. Claims 5-10 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be

allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any

intervening claims.

7. Claims 11-17 and 19-21 are allowed.

Conclusion

8. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time

policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE

MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO

MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after

the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period

will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37

CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date

of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 09/928,679

Page 5

Art Unit: 3764

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael Brown whose telephone number is (703) 308-2682.

M. Brown June 2, 2003

> Michael A. Brown Primary Examiner

Midal 9.B